



September 5, 2018

VIA FOIAONLINE

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Headquarters

National Freedom of Information Officer

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW (2822T)

Washington, DC 20460

Phone: (202) 566-1667

Re: Freedom of Information Act Request: External Communications by (Former) U.S. EPA Administrator Scott Pruitt's Scheduling and Advance Personnel Milan Hupp and Kelly Kunder

Dear FOIA Officer:

This is a request under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, as amended ("FOIA"), and the EPA regulations at 40 C.F.R. § 2.100, *et seq.* This request is sent to you because you were identified as the proper person to receive such requests. If this request should be directed at another person, please forward this request to that person.

Sierra Club is a leading non-governmental organization seeking to educate and mobilize the public on issues of climate change, fossil fuel energy and clean energy, as well as the organization's long-standing interest in government accountability and transparency.

REQUESTED RECORDS¹

¹ "Records" means information of any kind, including writings (handwritten, typed, electronic or otherwise produced, reproduced or stored), letters, memoranda, correspondence, notes, applications, completed forms, studies, reports, reviews, guidance documents, policies, telephone conversations, telefaxes, emails, documents, databases, drawings, graphs, charts, photographs, minutes of meetings, electronic and magnetic recordings of meetings, and any other compilation of data from which information can be obtained. Without limitation, the records requested include records relating to the topics described below at any stage of development, whether proposed, draft, pending, interim, final or

Sierra Club requests the following records in the possession, custody, or control of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") for the period **December 6, 2017 up through the present:**

1. All emails, text messages, faxes, voice mails, and other form of communications from, or to, Milan Hupp² or Kelly Kunding with any person outside of EPA, as well as any phone logs or other indices which memorialize communications with said persons, relating to the arrangement, advance preparation or scheduling of phone calls or meetings with or appearances by (Former) U.S. EPA Administrator Scott Pruitt.
2. All calendars, whether electronic or in paper format, of (Former) U.S. EPA Administrator Scott Pruitt³ for the above listed time period.
3. All sign-in sheets or other records memorializing attendance at any meetings with Mr. Pruitt for the above-listed time period at which a person outside of EPA was in attendance.⁴
4. All emails, faxes, voicemails, texts or other forms of communication that have been deleted which fit the above specifications and which remain recoverable in any way. If fulfilling this specification requires additional time, we would ask that production of documents meeting specifications 1-3 be given priority and processed separately from any potential responsive records to this specification.

You may specifically exclude from processing and release any records that are publicly available (e.g., through regulations.gov). This would include documents produced in regard to FOIA Request Number: EPA-HQ-2017-008571. This prior FOIA request was submitted by Sierra Club and was limited to Milan Hupp and Sydney Hupp,⁵ and documents were produced only through December 6, 2017. To the extent that this request is duplicative of said FOIA, the request for documents may be withdrawn, but only to the extent they were actually produced.

otherwise. All of the foregoing are included in this request if they are in the possession of or otherwise under the control of EPA, National Headquarters and all of its Offices, Regions and other subdivisions.

² Please note, for records related to Milan Hupp, Sierra Club seeks **records from December 6, 2017 through the date of her EPA departure (on or about June 6, 2018).**

³ A FOIA request has been submitted simultaneously for Mr. Pruitt's external communications from December 6, 2017 through his departure. To the extent that this request is duplicative of said FOIA, the request for such documents may be withdrawn, but only to the extent they were actually produced.

⁴ See footnote 3.

⁵ Sydney Hupp departed EPA in June 2017.

For purposes of this request, the term “person outside of EPA” means any person who is **not** an employee within the EPA, subject to the following exclusions: We are **not** seeking communications to or from persons employed elsewhere within the Executive Branch of the United States; persons employed by the executive branch of any state (i.e. state agencies); or persons who have an executed legal contract to provide consulting or other services to EPA. You may also specifically exclude from processing and release any records that are publicly available (e.g., through regulations.gov).

This request includes communication related to EPA that is or was on any system or device, computer, phone, smartphone, tablet, email account, cloud, server or other communication system either **personal or business** that is **or** was owned or operated by Ms. Milan Hupp or Ms. Kelly Kunderer that was used for the purpose of communicating regarding the arrangement, advance preparation or scheduling of phone calls or meetings with or appearances by (Former) U.S. EPA Administrator Scott Pruitt.

This request includes all emails or other communications from any **personal** account operated by Ms. Milan Hupp or Ms. Kelly Kunderer which have been forwarded into an EPA government email account regarding the arrangement, advance preparation or scheduling of phone calls or meetings with or appearances by (Former) U.S. EPA Administrator Scott Pruitt.

This request applies to all email accounts assigned to or operated by Ms. Milan Hupp or Ms. Kelly Kunderer, whether on an official EPA email address or server or not, that relate to official business of EPA. This request applies to so-called “alias” email accounts that may or may not include the name Milan or Hupp or Kelly or Kunderer in the email address.

Duty to Preserve Records

EPA must preserve all the records requested herein while this FOIA is pending or under appeal. 40 C.F.R. § 2.106 (“[r]ecords shall not be disposed of while they are the subject of a pending request, appeal, or lawsuit under the FOIA”); *see Chambers v. U.S. Dep’t of Interior*, 568 F.3d 998, 1004 (D.C. Cir. 2009) (“an agency is not shielded from liability if it intentionally transfers or destroys a document after it has been requested under FOIA or the Privacy Act”). Accordingly, please immediately advise custodians of potentially responsive records that the above records have been requested under FOIA and therefore may not be destroyed.

If any of the requested records are destroyed, the agency and responsible officials are subject to attorney fee awards and sanctions, including fines and disciplinary action. A court held EPA in contempt for “contumacious conduct” and ordered the agency to pay plaintiff’s costs and fees for destroying “potentially responsive material contained on hard drives and email backup tapes.” *Landmark Legal Found. v. EPA*, 272 F.Supp.2d 59, 62 (D.D.C. 2003); *see also Judicial Watch, Inc. v. Dep’t of Commerce*, 384 F. Supp. 2d 163, 169 (D.D.C. 2005) (awarding attorneys’ fees and costs because, among other factors, agency’s “initial search was unlawful and

egregiously mishandled and ...likely responsive documents were destroyed and removed”), *aff’d in relevant part*, 470 F.3d 363, 375 (D.C. Cir. 2006) (remanding in part to recalculate attorney fees assessed). In another case, in addition to imposing a \$10,000 fine and awarding attorneys’ fees and costs, the court found that an Assistant United States Attorney prematurely “destroyed records responsive to [the] FOIA request while [the FOIA] litigation was pending” and referred him to the Department of Justice’s Office of Professional Responsibility. *Jefferson v. Reno*, 123 F. Supp. 2d 1, 6 (D.D.C. 2000).

EXEMPT RECORDS

Should you decide to invoke a FOIA exemption with regard to any of the requested records, please include in your full or partial denial letter sufficient information for the Sierra Club to appeal the denial. To comply with legal requirements, the following information must be included:

1. Basic factual material about each withheld item, including the originator, date, length, general subject matter, and location of each item; and
2. Explanations and justifications for denial, including the identification of the category within the governing statutory provision under which the document (or portion thereof) was withheld and a full explanation of how each exemption fits the withheld material.

If you determine that portions of the records requested are exempt from disclosure, we request that you segregate the exempt portions and deliver the non-exempt portions of such records to my attention at the address below within the statutory time limit. 5 U.S.C. § 552(b).

FORMAT OF REQUESTED RECORDS

Under FOIA, you are obligated to provide records in the format requested if the record is readily reproducible by the agency in that format. *See, e.g.*, 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(3)(B). We request that you provide electronic documents individually, and not as batched files. Specifically, for any document stored as Electronically Stored Information (ESI), we request that the document be produced in the native file type. This includes e-mail (whether sent, received or drafted), word-processing files, tables, charts, graphs and database files, electronic calendars, proprietary software files, and spreadsheets. ESI can also be provided in the form of a load file that includes a common file type (TIFF, HTML, PDF) while maintaining access to the native file and its source data, including the ability to keyword search documents.

RECORD DELIVERY

We appreciate a prompt determination on the requested records. As mandated in FOIA, we anticipate a reply within 20 working days. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i) ; 40 C.F.R. § 2.104. Please email copies of the requested records to the e-mail address below. Please deliver documents that are not available in an electronic format to the physical address below. Failure to comply within the statutory timeframe may result in Sierra Club filing an action before the relevant U.S. District Court to ensure timely receipt of the requested materials.

Deliver electronic documents to:

David Abell, Sierra Club
david.abell@sierraclub.org

Deliver other documents to:

David Abell, Paralegal Specialist
Sierra Club - Environmental Law Program
2101 Webster Street, Suite 1300
Oakland, CA 94612

Please send documents on a rolling basis. EPA's search for—or deliberations concerning—certain records should not delay the production of others that EPA has already retrieved and elected to produce. *See generally* 40 C.F.R. § 2.104 (describing response deadlines).

FEE WAIVER REQUEST

I respectfully request that you waive all fees in connection with this request as provided by 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii) and 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(l).⁶

Sierra Club is the nation's oldest grassroots organization with more than 3.5 million members and supporters nationwide. Sierra Club has spent years promoting the public interest through

⁶ With the award-winning Sierra magazine and its extensive use of blogs to communicate with the public, Sierra Club would also be eligible for a fee waiver as a media requestor. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii); 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(c)(1)(iii); *see also* 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(b)(6) (defining "[r]epresentative of the news media"). A representative of the news media is "any person or entity that gathers information of potential interest to a segment of the public, uses its editorial skills to turn the raw materials into a distinct work, and distributes that work to an audience." 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii); *see also Elec. Privacy Info. Ctr. v. Dep't of Def.*, 241 F. Supp. 2d 5, 6, 11-15 (D.D.C. 2003) (a "non-profit public interest organization" qualifies as a representative of the news media under FOIA where it publishes books and newsletters on issues of current interest to the public).

the development of policies that protect human health and the environment, and has routinely received fee waivers under FOIA.⁷

FOIA was designed to provide citizens a broad right to access government records. FOIA's basic purpose is to "open agency action to the light of public scrutiny," with a focus on the public's "right to be informed about what their government is up to." *U.S. Dep't of Justice v. Reporters Comm. For Freedom of Press*, 489 U.S. 749, 773-74 (1989) (internal quotation and citations omitted). In order to provide public access to this information, FOIA's fee waiver provision requires that "[d]ocuments shall be furnished without any charge or at a [reduced] charge," if the request satisfies the standard. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii). The 1986 fee waiver amendments were designed specifically to provide non-profit organizations such as Sierra Club access to government records without the payment of fees. *Ettlinger v. FBI*, 596 F. Supp. 867, 872 (D. Mass. 1984) (fee waiver provision intended "to prevent government agencies from using high fees to discourage certain types of requesters and requests," which are "consistently associated with requests from journalists, scholars, and non-profit public interest groups.").

As explained below, this FOIA request satisfies the factors listed in EPA's governing regulations for waiver or reduction of fees, as well as the requirements for a fee waiver under the FOIA statute – that "disclosure of the information is in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the government and is not primarily in the commercial interest of the requester." 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii), *see also* 40 C.F.R. § 2.107(l).

1. The subject matter of the requested records specifically concerns identifiable "operations and activities of the government."

The requested records relate to meetings and schedules arranged for the (Former) U.S. EPA Administrator with external parties. These records will contribute to an understanding of the operations and actions of the EPA under the (Former) Administrator. By their very definition, these activities are "identifiable operations or activities of the government."

2. The disclosure of the requested documents would be meaningfully informative and "likely to contribute to an understanding of Federal government operations or activities."

Disclosure of the requested records will allow Sierra Club to convey information to the public about the communications and meetings of Mr. Pruitt, which will reflect his actions, objectives,

⁷ For recent examples, *see* FOIA Request Reference No. EPA-HQ-2017-2172 (fee letter waiver received Jan. 9, 2017); FOIA Request Reference No. EPA-HQ-2017-008402 (fee letter waiver received June 26, 2007); FOIA Request Reference No. EPA-HQ-2017-008571 & EPA-HQ-2017-008581 (fee letter waiver received June 27, 2017); FOIA Request Reference No. EPA-HQ-2017-008568 (fee letter waiver received June 28, 2017).

and priorities as the (Former) EPA Administrator. This is an issue of heightened public interest and concern. Mr. Pruitt is a controversial figure; he was confirmed to his position by the slimmest of margins. Prior to taking office, he had sued to stop EPA regulations at least 13 times, working closely with polluting industries and conservative political organizations who were also large contributors to his political campaigns. The public at large and Sierra Club membership are extremely concerned that he was using the office of EPA Administrator to further his own political aspirations and the fortunes of his industry donors, rather than to ensure a healthy and safe environment for American families.

Once the requested documents are made available, Sierra Club will analyze them and present its findings to its members and online activists and the general public in a manner that will meaningfully enhance the public's understanding of how the (Former) EPA Administrator was acting and operating within the EPA. The documents requested will thus be "meaningfully informative" and "likely to contribute" to an understanding of EPA's operations.

The requested records are not otherwise in the public domain and are not accessible other than through a FOIA request. Indeed, the request explicitly excludes those documents already available through regulations.gov. Thus, the requested documents provide information that is not already in the public domain and is accordingly likely to meaningfully contribute to public understanding of governmental operations.

3. The disclosure would contribute to the understanding of the public at large, as opposed to the individual understanding of the requester or a narrow segment of interested persons.

Sierra Club has longstanding experience and expertise in the subject area of the FOIA requests, including issues related to government accountability and transparency, and the activities that properly fall within the mission of the EPA.

Sierra Club disseminates the information it receives through FOIA requests in a variety of ways, such as: analysis and distribution to the media, distribution through publication and mailing, posting on its website, emailing and list serve distribution to our members across the U.S., and via public meetings and events. Every year the Sierra Club website receives 26,298,200 unique visits and over 30 million page views; on average, the site gets 72,049 visits per day. Sierra Magazine is a bi-monthly magazine with a printed circulation of approximately 650,000 copies. Sierra Club Insider, an electronic newsletter, is sent to nearly 3.5 million people twice a month. In addition, Sierra Club disseminates information obtained by FOIA requests through comments to administrative agencies.⁸

⁸ For example, Sierra Club recently publicized results of its FOIA requests regarding outside influence on foreign travel at the EPA, a story that was picked by the New York Times. Lisa Friedman, Eric Lipton and Kenneth P. Vogel, *Ex-Lobbyist for Foreign Governments Helped Plan Pruitt Trip to Australia*, The New York Times, <https://www.nytimes.com/2018/05/02/climate/epa-pruitt-australia-travel.html>. Sierra Club also recently publicized the results of its FOIA requests regarding agency job freezes, a story that was

Sierra Club intends to share the information received from this FOIA request with the general public, our impacted members across the country, the media and our allies who share a common interest in the operations of the EPA.

Sierra Club unquestionably has the “specialized knowledge” and “ability and intention” to disseminate the information requested in the broad manner outlined above, and to do so in a manner that contributes to the understanding of the “public-at-large.”

4. The disclosure would contribute “significantly” to public understanding of government operations or activities.

As discussed in section (2) above, the records requested will significantly contribute to the public understanding of governmental operations, and activities. Disclosure of the (Former) Administrator’s communications and other interactions with outside parties will significantly enhance the public’s understanding of whether (Former) Administrator Pruitt was advancing the stated mission of the EPA to protect public health and the environment, or whether he was advancing his own agenda and that of his political donors.

5. The requester has no commercial interest that would be furthered by the requested disclosure.⁹

Sierra Club has no commercial interest in the requested records. Nor does it have any intention to use these records in any manner that “furthers a commercial, trade, or profit interest” as those terms are commonly understood. Sierra Club is a nonprofit, tax-exempt organization under sections 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code, and as such has no commercial interest. The requested records will be used for the furtherance of Sierra Club’s mission to inform the public on matters of vital importance to the environment and public health.

Sierra Club respectfully requests that EPA waive processing and copying fees pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A) because the public will be the primary beneficiary of this requested information. In the event that your agency denies a fee waiver, please send a written explanation for the denial. Please do not incur expenses beyond \$250 without first contacting

picked up by the Washington Post. Alexander Rony, *Trump Admin Policy Leaves 700 CDC Jobs Vacant*, The Planet, <http://www.sierraclub.org/planet/2017/05/trump-admin-policies-leave-700-cdc-jobs-vacant>; Lena H. Sun, *Nearly 700 vacancies at CDC because of Trump administration’s hiring freeze*, The Washington Post, https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/to-your-health/wp/2017/05/19/nearly-700-vacancies-at-cdc-because-of-trump-administration-hiring-freeze/?utm_term=.6c2e70d8581e.

⁹ Because Sierra Club has no commercial interest, the Club also satisfies the final factor for a fee waiver, which compares the magnitude of an identified commercial interest to the public interest in disclosure.

our office for explicit authorization.

Thank you for your cooperation. If you find that this request is unclear in any way please do not hesitate to call me to see if I can clarify the request or otherwise expedite and simplify your efforts to comply. I can be reached at (415) 977-5764 or by email at david.abell@sierraclub.org.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'David Abell', written in a cursive style.

David Abell
Sierra Club
Environmental Law Program
2101 Webster Street, Suite 1300
Oakland, CA 94612